Lampiran 1

RIWAYAT HIDUP



Nama : Siti Agnie Febyanti

NIM : AK.1.16.099

Tempat/Tanggal Lahir : Cimahi, 20 Februari 1998

Alamat : Kp. Kaum RT 003/007 Kecamatan Cililin, Kabupaten

Bandung Barat Kode Pos 40562

Status Pendidikan : Universitas Bhakti Kencana Bandung Fakultas

Keperawatan Program Studi Sarjana Keperawatan

Pendidikan:

- 1. TK RA Busthanul Altfa
- 2. SDN 03 Cililin
- 3. SMPN 01 Cililin
- 4. SMK Kesehatan Bhakti Kencana Cimahi
- 5. Universitas Bhakti Kencana Bandung

Universitas Bhakti Kencana

2 Seek one atte No. 14 sandons Seek 2 Tarab For Cap. Ball 168 Workland October Brown

CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa

: SITI AGNIE FERYANTI

NIM

Judul Skripsi

: AK.1.16.099
HUBUNGAN DUKUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN TINGKAT KEPATUHAN ART
PAPA COHA

Pembimbing Utama

: YUYUN SARINGNGSIH, SKEP, HERS, MIKEP

Pembimbing Pendamping : SITI JUNDIAH , S WERS, MERS, M. KEP

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Pembimbing
1.	6/2/20-) feshmon pendapet all pendente Hiv o) Sulm lehle Eesten	July .
2.	17/3/20-	6 Shuper dens o our juriere	My
3.	20/3/20	1. Marquat untik perawat dimasuktan ke manfaat teorins 2 Marquat bagi tempat penelinian letih di specipittan untik kemana 3. Buat Bab selanjutnya	Myty
4.	25/3 /20	1. Harus ditambahkan kenapa pendukung sebaya yang diwawancara, pengaloman apa yang bisa dishare dan dibag itan sehingga penang unt dileliti 2. Buat Rab 2 2 Rab 3	Bu Sin Jonath



CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa

: SIT I AGNIE FEBYANTI

NIM

: AK-1-16.099

Judul Skripsi

: HUBUNGAN DUKUNGAN KEWARGA DENGAN TINGKATKEPATUHAN ART PAD ODHA : WHN SARINGAH SKEP, NERS, M. KEP

Pembimbing Utama

No	Hari/Tanggal	g: Rd. Siti Juwdia H, Sikp., M. KEP Catatan Pembimbing	
5.	5/5/20	1. Pilih faktor yangatan diambi) untik mempermulah 2. Can jurnal lebih banyak yang terkaik dengan yang akan diambi) 3. buat sampai bab 3	Paraf Pembimbing
6.	11 /5 /20	1 Konsep HIV/AIDS plu'n yang peningnya saja 2. Penhagnya dukungan kila harus dumosvickan 1. Cari jural 16th hanyak mergerai dukungan teluanga	Ru Yoyun
7	16/5/20	2. Manfaat yang bagi penelin selanjutnya itu loh (ocut unik bernish I Jeru perelitian liph dijakarkan 4. populasi penelitian dipertailia th. Krinna inklus ditambah 6. Tahafan lirer ditambah bat katimat gual 7. Andra dara ditambah bat katimat gual	Bu Hyn
S.	16/5/20	1. Bab 2 = tujuan untik melalui litrev 2. Bab 2 : penulisan selvai jufnis - Konsep kepantan - Konsep ARV - Konsep kepatuhan ARV - Fonsep Retratuhan Sosial 3. Bab 3 : phirma ada totak tasang, menangan	Landiah Landiah



2 Scelarno Halla No. 154 Bande & 022 7830 760, 022 7830 7 W DRUIDCID Ocentoct@bku.an

CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa

ITMAKES SINGA I TIZ:

NIM

: AK.1.16.099

Judul Skripsi

: HUBUNGAN DURUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN TINGKAT KEPATUHAN ART PADA ODHA

Pembimbing Utama

ELYNN THEN THEN THEN HIS MINT. WIRE.

Pembimbing Pendamping : Rd. SITI JUNDIAH, S. KP.,

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Dombi
9.	22 5 (20	1. Etika penelitian 16h aplikatif 2. Markkan terri dukungan Hg di Bab 1 3. Susun servai sistematika penulisan 4. 1900 susun dengan sistematis 5. Hanfaat dipenaki 6. ACC Sidang UP	Paraf Pembimbing
(o.	2 /6/20	1 Shim paragraf yg membahas dukingan sosial hendaknya pd pangraf sebelumnya singpung dulu terkaik dukungan sosial sehingga nyambung dengan pangraf sebelumnya tidak vjog-vj.g membahas dukungan sosial 2. Bagan prismanun tidak lengkap ya	Bu Yugun
(1.	4 6 20	Acc sidang Up	Bu Sin Indiah
12.	27/7/20	1. Abstrak : masukkan saran penelitian & sifn dg 164RAD 2. Bab 3: buat pengertian jenis penelitian & sijuanya secara lah simple: 3. Hawken popularisample penelitian & diaksasdari marra 4. T. 2 C dan Dico tak dilabukan s. Penjelasan prisma flow diabas simple s. Fit a diabas apilitaar 7. bab 4: sebatican Jmlh Jrnlyg samat teorit analun	My Sundiah



2) Scenarno eratta No. 154 Bandur \$ 022 7830 760, 022 7830 76 & phulacid Ocnotact@bkulac.

CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa

: SIT I AGNIE FEBYANTI

NIM

: AK-1.16.099


Judul Skripsi

: HUMONIN DUKUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN THVG KAT KEPARITAN ART PADA ODHA : WIN LARINGCH S.KEP., NEPS., M. KEP

Pembimbing Utama

Pembimbing Pendamping : Pd. Str. Jurdian, S. Nep. WERE, M. K.T.

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Pembimbing
13.	4/8/20	1. Masukkan hasit rekomendasi JBI	\$ -
14.	9/8/20	1. Perbaiki dan sesuakan dengan pandhan, Perkantan Juak dan skupi 2. Bab 4 tambahkan Hunal yes kernann, tambahka nama peneluh 3. Acc sidang skupis	Bu Shi Judiah
IS.	20/8/20	1. Hasikkan hasil Jel ke bab 3 2. Acc sidong skripti	Bu ydyn
16	20 /8/20	1. PPT dibiat menank esimple, til Usah benyak tilisan 2. Hasiftan alasan kenapa mengginatan JBI	the Sin should have





Lampiran 2 : Tabel Scoring JBI

Judul	Peneliti	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total	Nilai Rekomendasi dan Dengan Skala FAME
Hubungan Antara Pengetahuan,	Mahard	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7	Grade A
Motivasi, dan Dukungan	ining										(rekomendasi kuat)
Keluarga Dengan Kepatuhan	(2010)										,
Terapi ARV ODHA											
Analisis Tingkat Kepatuhan	Poetri	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
Dan Dukungan Keluarga	(2017)										(rekomendasi kuat)
Terhadap Keberhasilan Terapi											Rutty
Antiretroviral Pasien Penderita											
HIV/AIDS Di Poli VCT RSUD											
Dr.H. Moch Ansari Saleh											
Banjarmasin											
Faktor-Faktor Yang	Debby,	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
Berhubungan Dengan	Sianturi										(rekomendasi kuat)
Kepatuhan Minum Obat ARV	&										Kuut)
Pada Pasien HIV Di RSCM	Susilo										
Jakarta	(2019)										
Hubungan Dukungan Keluarga	Bachru	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
Dengan Kepatuhan Minum Obat	n										(rekomendasi kuat)
Antiretroviral Pada Orang	(2017)										Kuut)
Dengan HIV/AIDS (ODHA)											
Hubungan Dukungan Keluarga	Dahokl	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
ODHA dengan Kepatuhan	ory,										(rekomendasi kuat)
Minum Obat Antiretroviral di	Romeo										Kuut)
Klinik VCT Sobat Kupang	&										

	Takaeb										
	(2019)										
Social-support needs among	Toth,	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A
adolescents living with HIV in	dkk										(rekomendasi kuat)
transition from pediatric to	(2018)										Kuat)
adult care in Cambodia: finding											
from a cross-sectional study											
HIV Disclosure to Family	Mi, dkk	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
Members and Medication	(2020)										(rekomendasi kuat)
Adherence: Role of Social											Nuut)
Support and Self Efficacy											
Factors Contributing to	Kioko	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
Antiretroviral Drug Adherence	&										(rekomendasi kuat)
Among Adults Living with HIV	Pertet										
or AIDS in a Kenyan Rural	(2017)										
Community											
Factors Associated With	Suryan	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A
Adherence To Anti-Retroviral	a,										(rekomendasi kuat)
Therapy Among People Living	Suharso										,
With HIV/AIDS At Wangaya	no &										
Hospital In Denpasar, Bali,	Antara										
Indonesia : A Cross-Sectional	(2019)										
Study											
Predictors of Adherence to	Tiyou,	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A
ANtiretroviral Therapy Among	dkk										(rekomendasi kuat)
People Living With HIV/AIDS in	(2010)										ŕ
Resource Limited Setting of											
Southwest Ethiopia											

Predictors of Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy among	Obrikor ang,	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A (rekomendasi
HIV/AIDS Patiens in the Upper	dkk										kuat)
West Region of Ghana	(2013)										
Adherence to Antiretroviral	Abadig	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A
Theraphy and Associated	a, dkk										(rekomendasi kuat)
Factors Among Human	(2020)										Ruut)
Immunodeficiency Virus											
Positive Patients Accessing											
Treatment at Nekemte Referral											
Hospital, West Ethiopia, 2019											
Socio-cultural Factors	Ademol	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A
Influencing Adherence to	a,										(rekomendasi kuat)
Antiretroviral Therapy Among	Carolin										,
People Living with HIV/AIDS in	e &										
a Tertiary Hospital in	Ishola										
Southwestern Nigeria	(2019)										
Roles of Family Dynamics on	Afolabi	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A
Adherence to Highly Active	, dkk										(rekomendasi kuat)
Antiretroviral Therapyh Among	(2019)										11000)
People Living with HIV/AIDS at											
a Tertiary Hospital in Osogbo,											
south-west Nigeria											
Factors Associated with	Aryal,	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A
Adherence to Antiretroviral	dkk										(rekomendasi kuat)
Therapy Among People Living	(2019)										
With HIV/AIDS											
Adherence to antiretroviral	Negesa,	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A (rekomendasi

Therapy And Factors Affecting	Demek									kuat)
Among People Living With	e &									
HIV/AIDS and Taking	Mekon									
Antiretroviral Therapy, Dire	nin									
Dawa Town, Eastern Ethiopia	(2017)									
Y = Yes, N= No, U= Uncleas, N/A = Not Applicable										



	mpiran 2 : Format <i>JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist fo</i> udies	or A	nalyt	ical Cross	Sectional		
Re	viewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date	:	27-0	07-2020			
Au	thor : Mahardining Year	Year : 2010					
Re	cord Number : 1858-1196						
		Y	N	Unclear	Not		
		e	О		Applica		
		S			ble		
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?						
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?						
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?						
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?						
5	Were confounding factors identified?						
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?						
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?						
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
Ov	rerall appraisal: include exclude seek further info		1				



Reviewer	: Siti Agnie Febyanti	Date	: 27-07-2020
Author	: Poetri	Year	: 2017

Record Number : ISSN : 2442-4560

		Y	N	Unclear	Not
		e	О		Applica
		s			ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?				
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?				
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?				
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square	
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?				
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Ov	rerall appraisal: include exclude seek further info				



JBI Cı	ritical <i>A</i>	Appraisal	Checklist for	Analytical	Cross S	Sectional	Studies

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Debby, Sianturi dan Susilo Year : 2017

Record Number : 1858-1196

		Y	N	Unclear	Not
		e	О		Applica
		s			ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?				
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?				
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?				
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square	
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?				
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				

Overall appraisal: include exclude seek further info



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer	: Siti Agnie Febyanti	Date	: 27-07-2020

Author : Bachrun Year : 2010

Record Number : 2089-4686

				•	•
		Y	N	Unclear	Not
		e	О		Applica
		s			ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly			\square	
	defined?	Ш		igstyle igytyle igstyle igytyle igstyle igytyle	
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in]]]
	detail?		Ш		
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable				
	way?	\square			
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for				
	measurement of the condition?				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square	
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors	П			
	stated?	Ш		igstyle igytyle igstyle igytyle	
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable				
	way?				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				

Overall appraisal: include exclude seek further info

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Re	viewer	: Siti Agnie Febyanti	Ι	Date	: 27-07-2020			
Au	thor	: Dahoklory, Romeo & Takaeb	Ŋ	l'ear	: 2019			
Re	cord Number	: 2685-4457						
			Y	N	Unclear	Not		
			e	О		Applica		
			s			ble		
1	Were the criteria f defined?	or inclusion in the sample clearly						
2	Were the study suldetail?	bjects and the setting describes in						
3	Was the exposure way?	measured in a valid and reliable						
4	Were the objects measurement of th	ive, standard criteria used for e condition?						
5	Were confounding	factors identified?			\Box			
6	Were strategies to stated?	deal with confounding factors						
7	Were the outcome way?	s measured in a valid and reliable						
8	Was appropriate st	atistical analysis used?						
Ov	Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info							

Re	eviewer : Siti Agnie Feby	vanti Date	:	27-0	07-2020			
Author : Toth dkk Year : 2018								
Re	ecord Number : https://doi.org/	10.1186/s12981-0	18-0)195-	-X			
			Y	N	Unclear	Not		
			e	О		Applica		
			s			ble		
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the defined?	ne sample clearly						
2	Were the study subjects and the set detail?	ting describes in						
3	Was the exposure measured in a vway?	alid and reliable						
4	Were the objective, standard commeasurement of the condition?	riteria used for						
5	Were confounding factors identified	d?			\square			
6	Were strategies to deal with constated?	founding factors						
7	Were the outcomes measured in a way?	valid and reliable						
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis	used?						
Ov	Overall appraisal: include exclude seek further info							



Re	viewer :	Siti Agnie Febyanti	Date	:	27-0	7-2020	
Au	Author : Mi dkk Year : 2010)		
Record Number : https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-019-02456-1							
				Y	N	Unclear	Not
				e	О		Applica
				S			ble
1	Were the criteria for defined?	or inclusion in the sample cl	early				
2	Were the study subdetail?	jects and the setting describ					
3	Was the exposure way?	measured in a valid and rel					
4	Were the objection measurement of the	ve, standard criteria used e condition?					
5	Were confounding	factors identified?				\square	
6	Were strategies to stated?	deal with confounding fa	ctors				
7	Were the outcomes way?	measured in a valid and rel	iable				
8	Was appropriate sta	atistical analysis used?					
Ov	Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info						

Reviewer	: Siti Agnie Febyanti	Date	: 27-07-2020
Author	: Kioko & Pertet	Year	: 2017

Record Number : https://doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v9i1.1343

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?				
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?				
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?				
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square	
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?				
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info

Re	viewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti	I	J ate	: 27-07-2	2020			
Author : Suryana, Suharsana & Antara Year				: 2019				
Re	Record Number : https://doi.org/10.2147/HIV.S219695							
		Y	N	Unclear	Not			
		e	О		Applica			
		s			ble			
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly							
	defined?							
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in							
	detail?							
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable	\square		П	П			
	way?							
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for		П					
	measurement of the condition?		ш	Ш				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square				
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors	П						
	stated?		Ш					
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable							
	way?							
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?							
Ov	Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info							



Reviewer	: Siti Agnie Febyanti	Date	: 27-07-2020

Author : Tiyou dkk Year : 2010

Record Number : 10.1186/1742-6405-7-39

		Y	N	Unclear	Not
		e	О		Applica
		s			ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?				
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?				
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?				
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			☑	
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?				
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
-	erall appraisal : include exclude seek further info	П			

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info



Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020 Author : Obrikorang dkk Year : 2013 Record Number : https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/873939 Unclear Not N Applica e 0 ble \mathbf{S} Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly \square defined? Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail? Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? Were confounding factors identified? \square Were strategies to deal with confounding factors \square stated? Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable \square П way?

Overall appraisal: include exclude seek further info

Comment: (including reason for exclusion)

Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020					
Author : Abadiga dkk Year : 2020					
Record Number : https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232703					
		Y	N	Unclear	Not
		e	О		Applica
		s			ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?				
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?				
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	\square			
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?				
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square	
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?				
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	\square			

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info



Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020 Author : Ademola, Caroline & Ishola Year : 2019 Record Number : 10.11648/j.ijhpebs.20190502.17 Unclear Not Y N **Applica** e 0 ble \mathbf{S} Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail? Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? Were confounding factors identified? \square Were strategies to deal with confounding factors \square stated?

Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info

Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable

Comment: (including reason for exclusion)

Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

П

way?

 \square



Re	viewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti	Date		: 27-07-2020		
Au	thor : Afolabi dkk	Year		: 2013		
Record Number : http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v13i4.9						
		Y	N	Unclear	Not	
		e	О		Applica	
		S			ble	
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?					
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?					
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?					
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?					
5	Were confounding factors identified?			\square		
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?					
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?					
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?					
Overall appraisal: include exclude seek further info						

Re	viewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti	Ι	Date	: 27-07-2020		
Au	thor : Aryal dkk	`	Year : 2019			
Record Number : https://doi.org/10.3126/mjsbh.v18i1.19560						
		Y	N	Unclear	Not	
		e	О		Applica	
		s			ble	
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	y 🖂				
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	n 🖂				
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	e 🖂				
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	r 🛭				
5	Were confounding factors identified?					
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factor stated?	s \square				
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	e 🖂				
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?					
Overall appraisal : include exclude seek further info						



Re	viewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti	Ι	Date : 27-07-20		2020		
Au	thor : Negesa, Demeke & Mekonnin	}	Year	: 2017			
Record Number : https://doi.org/10.21767/2472-1093.100032							
		Y	N	Unclear	Not		
		e	О		Applica		
		s			ble		
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?						
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?						
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?						
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?						
5	Were confounding factors identified?						
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?						
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?						
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
Overall appraisal: include exclude seek further info							