

Lampiran 1

RIWAYAT HIDUP



Nama : Siti Agnie Febyanti

NIM : AK.1.16.099

Tempat/Tanggal Lahir : Cimahi, 20 Februari 1998

Alamat : Kp. Kaum RT 003/007 Kecamatan Cililin, Kabupaten Bandung Barat Kode Pos 40562

Status Pendidikan : Universitas Bhakti Kencana Bandung Fakultas Keperawatan Program Studi Sarjana Keperawatan

Pendidikan :


1. TK RA Busthanul Altfa
2. SDN 03 Cililin
3. SMPN 01 Cililin
4. SMK Kesehatan Bhakti Kencana Cimahi
5. Universitas Bhakti Kencana Bandung



CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : SITI AGNIE FEBYANTI
NIM : AK.1.16.099
Judul Skripsi : HUBUNGAN DUKUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN TINGKAT KEPATUHAN ART
PADA CDHA
Pembimbing Utama : YUYUN SARINGENSIH, S.KEP, NERS, M.KEP
Pembimbing Pendamping : SITI JUNDIAH, S.KEP, NERS, M.KEP

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Pembimbing
1	6/2/20	1) feshmoni / pendapat cdha. pendukung HIV 2) Subur leher siletan	
2	13/3/20	1) tema diganti 2) Skripsi ulang 3) cari jurnal	
3	20/3/20	1. Manfaat untuk perawat dimasukkan ke manfaat teoritis 2. Manfaat bagi tempat penelitian lebih di spesifikkan untuk kemana 3. Buat Bab selanjutnya	 Bu Yuyun
4	25/3/20	1. Harus ditambahkan kenapa pendukung sebagai yang di wawancara, pengalaman apa yang bisa di share dan dibagikan sehingga penting untuk diteliti 2. Buat Bab 2 & Bab 3	 Bu Siti Jundiah







CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : SITI AGNIE FEBYANTI
NIM : AK.1.16.099
Judul Skripsi : HUBUNGAN DUKUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN TINGKAT KEPATUHAN ART PADA ODHA
Pembimbing Utama : YUNYUN SARINGSIH S.KEP., NERS., M.KEP
Pembimbing Pendamping : Rd. SITI JUNDIAH, S.KEP., M.KEP

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Pembimbing
5	5 / 5 / 20	1. Pilih faktor gangguan diambil untuk mempermudah 2. Cari jurnal lebih banyak yang terkait dengan yang akan diambil 3. buat sampai bab 3	 Bu Yuni
6	11 / 5 / 20	1. Konsep HIV/AIDS pilih yang peningnya saja 2. Peningnya dukungan klg harus dimasukkan 3. Cari jurnal lbh banyak mengenai dukungan keluarga	 Bu Yuni
7	16 / 5 / 20	1. Masukkan pengertian dukungan klg di bab 1 2. Manfaat yang bagi peneliti selanjutnya itu lbh rinci untuk keprn 3. Jena penelitian lbh dijabarkan 4. Populasi penelitian diperjelas 5. Kriteria inklusi ditambahkan 6. Tahapan literer ditambahkan ke dalam kualitatif 7. Analisis data ditambahkan dan efor Edvard	 Bu Yuni
8	16 / 5 / 20	1. Bab 1 = tujuan untuk ... melalui literer 2. Bab 2 : penulisan sesuai jurnal - Konsep kepatuhan - Konsep ARV - Konsep kepatuhan ARV - Konsep Dukungan Sosial 3. Bab 3 : penerapan atau totalitas konsep, mengapa?	 Bu Siti Jundiah





CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : SITI AGNIE FEBYANTI
NIM : AK-1-16-009
Judul Skripsi : HUBUNGAN DURUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN TINGKAT KEPATUHAN ART
PADA ODHA
Pembimbing Utama : YUNN SAPINGSIH S.KEP., NERS., M.KEP
Pembimbing Pendamping : Rd. SITI JUNDIAH, S.KP., M.KEP

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Pembimbing
9.	22 / 5 / 20	1. Etika penelitian lbh aplikatif 2. Masukkan teori dukungan tlg di Bab 1 3. Susun sesuai sistematika penulisan 4. PICO susun dengan sistematis 5. Manfaat diperbaiki 6. ACC sidang UP	 Bu Yuyun
10.	2 / 6 / 20	1. Sblm paragraf yg membahas dukungan sosial hendaknya pd paragraf sebelumnya singgung dulu terkait dukungan sosial sehingga nyambung dengan paragraf sebelumnya tidak jg-jg mentaha s dukungan sosial 2. Bagan prisma yg tidak lengkap ya	 Bu Siti Jundiah
11.	4 / 6 / 20	ACC sidang UP	 Bu Siti Jundiah
12.	27 / 7 / 20	1. Abstrak : masukkan saran penelitian & sistkn dg MPAD 2. Bab 3 : buat pengertian jenis penelitian & tujuannya secara lbh simple 3. Masukkan populasi sample penelitian & diulas dari mana 4. I & C dan PICO tdk diabaikan 5. Penjelasan prima flow dibuat simple 6. Etika dibuat aplikatif 7. bab 4 : sebutkan jmlh jrn yg sama + kapi + analisa	 Bu Yuyun

CATATAN BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : SITI AGNIE FEBYANTI
NIM : AK.1.16.099
Judul Skripsi : HUBUNGAN DUKUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN TINGKAT KEPERILAHAN ART PADA ODHA
Pembimbing Utama : WYUN SARINGSIH SKP, NERS., M. KEP
Pembimbing Pendamping : Rd. Siti Jurdiah, S.KEP NERS., M.KEP

No	Hari/Tanggal	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf Pembimbing
13.	4/8/20	1. Masukkan hasil rekomendasi JBI	 Bu Siti Jurdiah
14.	9/8/20	1. Perbaiki dan sesuaikan dengan panduan, perhatikan jarak dan skripsi 2. Bab 4 tambahkan jurnal yg terbaru, tambahkan nama peneliti 3. Acc sidang skripsi	 Bu Yayan
15.	20/8/20	1. Masukkan hasil JBI ke bab 3 2. Acc sidang skripsi	 Bu Siti Jurdiah
16	20/8/20	1. PPT dibuat menarik & simple, tak usah banyak tulisan 2. Masifkan alasan kenapa menggunakan JBI	 Bu Yayan

S1 Kep 113 Siti Agnie.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Edit View Window Help

Home Tools FKep_S1Kep_AK11... S1 Kep 113 Siti Ag... x Sign In

56 / 63 50%

HUBUNGAN DUKUNGAN KELUARGA DENGAN KEPATUHAN ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY (ART) PADA ODHA (ORANG DENGAN HIV/AIDS) : LITERATURE REVIEW

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%	16%	5%	10%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	es.scribd.com	1%
2	konsultasisikripsi.com	1%
3	Submitted to Sriwijaya University	1%
4	journals.plos.org	1%
5	eprints.undip.ac.id	1%
6	eprints.uad.ac.id	1%
7	text-id.123dok.com	1%
8	Submitted to KYUNG HEE UNIVERSITY	<1%

7:35 AM 1/25/2021



Lampiran 2 : Tabel Scoring JBI

Judul	Peneliti	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total	Nilai Rekomendasi dan Dengan Skala FAME
Hubungan Antara Pengetahuan, Motivasi, dan Dukungan Keluarga Dengan Kepatuhan Terapi ARV ODHA	Mahardining (2010)	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
Analisis Tingkat Kepatuhan Dan Dukungan Keluarga Terhadap Keberhasilan Terapi Antiretroviral Pasien Penderita HIV/AIDS Di Poli VCT RSUD Dr.H. Moch Ansari Saleh Banjarmasin	Poetri (2017)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
Faktor-Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Kepatuhan Minum Obat ARV Pada Pasien HIV Di RSCM Jakarta	Debby, Sianturi & Susilo (2019)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
Hubungan Dukungan Keluarga Dengan Kepatuhan Minum Obat Antiretroviral Pada Orang Dengan HIV/AIDS (ODHA)	Bachrun (2017)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
Hubungan Dukungan Keluarga ODHA dengan Kepatuhan Minum Obat Antiretroviral di Klinik VCT Sobat Kupang	Dahoklory, Romeo &	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)

	Takaeb (2019)											
<i>Social-support needs among adolescents living with HIV in transition from pediatric to adult care in Cambodia: finding from a cross-sectional study</i>	Toth, dkk (2018)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6		Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>HIV Disclosure to Family Members and Medication Adherence: Role of Social Support and Self Efficacy</i>	Mi, dkk (2020)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5		Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Factors Contributing to Antiretroviral Drug Adherence Among Adults Living with HIV or AIDS in a Kenyan Rural Community</i>	Kioko & Pertet (2017)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5		Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Factors Associated With Adherence To Anti-Retroviral Therapy Among People Living With HIV/AIDS At Wangaya Hospital In Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia : A Cross-Sectional Study</i>	Suryan a, Suharsono & Antara (2019)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5		Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Predictors of Adherence to ANtiretroviral Therapy Among People Living With HIV/AIDS in Resource Limited Setting of Southwest Ethiopia</i>	Tiyou, dkk (2010)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6		Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)

<i>Predictors of Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy among HIV/AIDS Patients in the Upper West Region of Ghana</i>	Obrikorang, dkk (2013)	U	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	5	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy and Associated Factors Among Human Immunodeficiency Virus Positive Patients Accessing Treatment at Nekemte Referral Hospital, West Ethiopia, 2019</i>	Abadiga, dkk (2020)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Socio-cultural Factors Influencing Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy Among People Living with HIV/AIDS in a Tertiary Hospital in Southwestern Nigeria</i>	Ademola, Caroline & Ishola (2019)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Roles of Family Dynamics on Adherence to Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy Among People Living with HIV/AIDS at a Tertiary Hospital in Osogbo, south-west Nigeria</i>	Afolabi, dkk (2019)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Factors Associated with Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy Among People Living With HIV/AIDS</i>	Aryal, dkk (2019)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A (rekomendasi kuat)
<i>Adherence to antiretroviral</i>	Negesa,	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	Y	Y	6	Grade A (rekomendasi

<i>Therapy And Factors Affecting Among People Living With HIV/AIDS and Taking Antiretroviral Therapy, Dire Dawa Town, Eastern Ethiopia</i>	Demek e & Mekon nin (2017)											kuat)
Y = Yes, N= No, U= Unclear, N/A = Not Applicable												



Lampiran 2 : Format *JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies*

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Mahardining Year : 2010

Record Number : 1858-1196

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti

Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Poetri

Year : 2017

Record Number : ISSN : 2442-4560

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Debby, Sianturi dan Susilo Year : 2017

Record Number : 1858-1196

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include☐ exclude☐ seek further info☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Bachrun Year : 2010

Record Number : 2089-4686

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Dahoklory, Romeo & Takaeb Year : 2019

Record Number : 2685-4457

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Toth dkk Year : 2018

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12981-018-0195-x>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Mi dkk Year : 2010

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-019-02456-1>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Kioko & Pertet Year : 2017

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v9i1.1343>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti

Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Suryana, Suharsana & Antara

Year : 2019

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.2147/HIV.S219695>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Tiyou dkk Year : 2010

Record Number : 10.1186/1742-6405-7-39

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Obrikorang dkk Year : 2013

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/873939>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Abadiga dkk Year : 2020

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232703>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Ademola, Caroline & Ishola Year : 2019

Record Number : 10.11648/j.ijhpebs.20190502.17

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti

Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Afolabi dkk

Year : 2013

Record Number : <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v13i4.9>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti

Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Aryal dkk

Year : 2019

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.3126/mjsbh.v18i1.19560>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applica ble
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)



THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

Reviewer : Siti Agnie Febyanti Date : 27-07-2020

Author : Negesa, Demeke & Mekonnin Year : 2017

Record Number : <https://doi.org/10.21767/2472-1093.100032>

		Y e s	N o	Unclear	Not Applicable
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Were the study subjects and the setting describes in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Were the objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal : include ☐ exclude ☐ seek further info ☐

Comment : (including reason for exclusion)