

## LAMPIRAN



### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Author \_\_\_\_\_ Year \_\_\_\_\_ Record Number \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal:    Include     Exclude     Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

---



---



---

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

Date : 30 Juni 2021

Author : Emil Azin

Year 2016

Record Number : doi.org/10.14138/sp17.5.2016

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?

Overall appraisal: Include  13 Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Karna dalam jurnal ini semuanya sudah dijelaskan secara detail dan jelas sesuai dengan kriteria inklusi yang peneliti tentukan sehingga peneliti mengambil jurnal ini untuk dijadikan sampel penelitian.

## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

Date : 30 Juni 2021

Author: Sreesravya Gutta

Year: 2019

Record Number : doi.org/10.1155/2019/6274719

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

groups?

- |   |   |                          |                          |                          |
|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?   | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?  | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial? | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include  13 Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Karna dalam jurnal ini semuanya sudah dijelaskan secara detail dan jelas sesuai dengan kriteria inklusi yang peneliti tentukan sehingga peneliti mengambil jurnal ini untuk dijadikan sampel penelitian.

## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer: Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

Date: 30 Juni 2021

Author : Maduka Donatus Ughasoro

Year : 2019

Record Number : doi.org/10.2147/CEG.S207523

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?      ✓
12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?      ✓
13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial?      ✓

Overall appraisal: Include ✓ 1 3 Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Didalam jurnal yang dianalisis ini sudah dijelaskan dengan jelas sekali dan jurnalnya sudah sangat lengkap sehingga peneliti mengambil jurnal ini untuk dijadikan sampel penelitian.

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

Date : 1 July 2021

Author : Jayendra R Gohil

Year : 2020

Record Number : ISSN 2582-2950

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

groups?

- |   |   |                          |                          |                          |
|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?   | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?  | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial? | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include  13 Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Didalam jurnal yang dianalisis ini sudah dijelaskan dengan jelas sekali dan jurnalnya sudah sangat lengkap sehingga peneliti mengambil jurnal ini untuk dijadikan sampel penelitian.

## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Reviewer : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

Date : 1 July 2021

Author : Nanda Susanti Milyana, Gusjihana Dasa Tjipta, Muhammad Ali, Emil Azlin

Year: 2011

Record Number : doi.org/104238/pi51.6.2011.316-21

	Yes	No	Unclear	NA
1. Was true randomization used for assignment of participants to treatment groups?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Was allocation to treatment groups concealed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Were treatment groups similar at the baseline?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were participants blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were outcomes assessors blind to treatment assignment?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was follow up complete and if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow up adequately described and analyzed?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized?	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Were outcomes measured in the same way for treatment	√	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

groups?

- |   |   |                          |                          |                          |
|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 11. Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?   | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 12. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?  | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 13. Was the trial design appropriate, and any deviations from the standard RCT design (individual randomization, parallel groups) accounted for in the conduct and analysis of the trial? | √ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include  13 Exclude  Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion) :

Karna dalam jurnal ini semuanya sudah dijelaskan secara detail dan jelas sesuai dengan kriteria inklusi yang peneliti tentukan sehingga peneliti mengambil jurnal ini untuk dijadikan sampel penelitian.



Universitas  
Bhakti Kencana

Jl. Soekarno Hatta No 754 Bandung  
022 7830 760, 022 7830 768  
bku.ac.id contact@bku.ac.id

### SURAT KETERANGAN BEBAS PLAGIARISME

Nomor: 51 /FKEP.PERP/UBK/IX/2021

Kepala Bagian Perpustakaan Universitas Bhakti Kencana menerangkan bahwa mahasiswa dengan identitas berikut:

Nama : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili  
 NIM : AK117002  
 Fakultas : Keperawatan  
 Program Studi : S1 Keperawatan  
 Nilai Hasil Plagiat : 12  
 Judul KTI/Skripsi : Efektivitas Fototerapi Terhadap Penurunan Kadar Bilirubin Pada Bayi Hiperbilirubinemia


Dinyatakan sudah memenuhi syarat batas maksimal plagiasi kurang dari 30% pada setiap sub bab naskah KTI/Skripsi yang disusun. Surat Keterangan ini digunakan sebagai syarat untuk pengambilan Ijazah dan Transkrip Nilai.

Bandung, 6 September 2021

Ka. Bagian Perpustakaan UBK



Rosy Rosytasary, S.IIP



## CATATAN BIMBINGAN

**Dosen Pembimbing Utama : Denni Fransiska H., S.Kep., Ners., M.Kep**

Nama Mahasiswa : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

NIM : AK117002

Judul Skripsi : Efektivitas Fototerapi Terhadap Penurunan Kadar Bilirubin Pada Bayi Hiperbilirubinemia : *Systematic Literature Riview*

No	Hari&tanggal bimbingan	Catatan Pembimbing	Paraf
1	Sabtu, 19 Desember 2020	Bimbingan pengajuan judul atau tema yang akan diteliti	Denni Fransiska., S.Kp..M.Kep
2	Rabu, 23 Desember 2020	ACC judul skripsi	Denni Fransiska., S.Kp..M.Kep
3	Kamis, 28 Januari 2021	Bimbingan BAB I :  a) dijudul tambahkan "kadar"  b) dilatbel, susunannya	

		<p>hiperbilirubinemia, faktor-faktor</p> <p>hiperbilirubinemia, jelaskan jenis hiperbilirubin yg 2 itu, trus data-data pravelensinya, trus jelaskan secara singkat si terapi-terapinya, c)</p> <p>masukkan 2 jurnal hasil penelitian orang lain</p> <p>d) masukin alasannya kenapa ambil litrev</p> <p>e) tujuan oke, tambahkan litrev</p> <p>f) manfaat praktik dan teoritik hapus universitas bhakti kencana</p>	Denni Fransiska., S.Kp.,M.Kep
4	Selasa, 9 Februari 2021	<p>Bimbingan BAB I :</p> <p>Perbaiki lagi, perhatikan EYD dan tanda baca, cari kembali sumber pustaka yang terbaru</p>	

		<p>kemudian perhatikan panduan skripsi</p> <p>Lanjutkan BAB II</p>	<p>Denni Fransiska., S.Kp.,M.Kep</p>
5	Rabu, 3 Maret 2021	<p>Bimbingan BAB I&amp;II</p> <p>Perhatikan penulisan, margins, penulisan sumber pustaka, cari sumber 10 tahun kebelakang, jangan mengulang-ulang kalimat atau angka, perkuat fenomena</p> <p>Lanjutkan BAB III&amp;IV</p>	<p>Denni Fransiska., S.Kp.,M.Kep</p>
6	Senin, 29 Maret 2021	<p>Bimbingan BAB I-IV</p> <p>BAB I : tulis secara sistematis, jangan berulang-ulang</p>	

		<p>menuliskan kalimat atau kata-kata</p> <p>BAB II : tinjauan pustaka dan kerangka konseptual perbaiki lagi</p> <p>BAB III &amp; IV : perbaiki</p> <p>Perhatikan pengetikan yang typo.</p> <p>Silakan lengkapi draf proposal</p> <p>ACC UP</p>	<p>Denni Fransiska., S.Kp.,M.Kep</p>
7	Selasa, 27 juli 2021	<p>Revisi pasca SUP</p> <p>ACC untuk penelitian</p>	<p>Denni Fransiska.,S.Kp.,M.Kep</p>
8	Kamis, 29 Juli 2021	<p>Bimbingan bab V &amp; VI</p>	

		Rapihkan margin dan penomoran  Gabungkan dari bab I  Tambahkan teori untuk pembahasan	Denni Fransiska.,S.Kp.,M.Kep
9	Sabtu, 14 Agustus 2021	Revisi bab V & VIACC sidang akhir	Denni Fransiska.,S.Kp.,M.Kep

**Dosen Pembimbing Pendamping : Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Kep.,Ners.,M.Kep**

Nama Mahasiswa : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

NIM: AK117002

Judul skripsi : Efektifitas Fototerapi Terhadap Penurunan Kadar Hiperbilirubin Pada Bayi Hiperbilirubinemia : *Systematic Literature Riview*

No	Hari&tanggal	Catatan Bimbingan	Paraf
1	Senin, 28 Desember 2020	Pengajuan judul atau tema.  ACC judul skripsi	

			Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Kep., Ners.,M.Kep
2	Senin, 4 Januari 2021	<p>Bimbingan BAB I</p> <p>Latar belakang perbaiki lagi, justifikasi belum jelas, data-data prevalensi cari yang mendekati tahun sekarang, sumber pustaka perbaiki, tambahkan minimal 2 jurnal hasil penelitian orang lain. Rumusan masalah oke, tujuan oke, manfaat oke.</p> <p>Silakan buat BAB II</p>	Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Kep., Ners.,M.Kep
3	Senin, 15 Februari 2021	<p>Bimbingan BAB I &amp; II</p> <p>BAB I tambahkan grand teori, jelaskan dulu terapi-terapi yang lain, tambkan kelebihan dari fototerapi, tambahkan penelitian orang lain harus ada bedanya misalnya metode penelitiannya.</p>	

		<p>BAB II : cari sumber pustaka terbaru, perbaiki penulisan.</p> <p>Silakan buat BAB III&amp;IV</p>	<p>Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Kep., Ners.,M.Kep</p>
4	Selasa, 30 Maret 2021	<p>Bimbingan BAB I-IV</p> <p>Lengkapi draf proposal</p> <p>ACC sidang UP</p>	<p>Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Kep., Ners.,M.Kep</p>
5	Minggu, 4 Juli 2021	<p>Revisi pasca SUP</p> <p>ACC penelitian</p>	<p>Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Krp., Ners.,M.Kep</p>
6	Jum'at 13 Agustus 2021	<p>Bimbingan bab V &amp;VI</p> <p>Lengkapi Draft</p>	

		ACC sidang akhir	Lia Nurlianawati.,S.Kep., Ners.,M.Kep
--	--	------------------	---

### BUKTI MENJADI OPENAN

**Nama : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili**

**NIM : AK117002**

No	Hari/tanggal	Judul	Penyaji	Ttd penyaji	Ket
1.	Senin, 8 Maret 2021	Pengaruh <i>progressive muscle relasation</i> (PMR) terhadap kualitas tidur lansia : <i>systematic litarature review</i>	Dedep Nugraha., S.Kep., Ners., M.Kep		
2.	Senin, 2 April 2021	Pengaruh <i>emotional freedom technique</i> (EFT)	Imam Abidin., S.Kep., Ners		

		terhadap tingkat kecemasan selama pembelajaran jarak jauh di masa covid-19 pada mahasiswa UBK			
3.	Senin, 29 Juni 2021	Hubungan kualitas hidup dengan pasien gagal ginjal kronik yang menjalani hemodialisa : <i>literature rview</i>	Raihany., S.Kep., Ners., M.Kep		
4.	Senin, 16 Agustus 2021	Gambaran kualitas hidup pasien gagal ginjal kronik di RS Majalaya kabupaten Bandung	Rizki Muliani., S.Kep., Ners., MM		

## RIWAYAT HIDUP



Nama : Agriana Ridha Nur Laili

NIM : AK117002

Tempat Tanggal Lahir : Malang, 10 Agustus 1999

Agama : Islam

Alamat : Jl. Gn. Semeru RT 01 No 64 Kelurahan Kp. 6 Kecamatan Tarakan Timur  
kota Tarakan Kalimantan Utara

Email : [agrianaridha607@gmail.com](mailto:agrianaridha607@gmail.com)

### **Riwayat Pendidikan :**

1. TK Kuncup Mekar Pertamina Ep Tarakan Tahun 2004-2005
2. SDN 015 Tarakan Tahun 2005-2011
3. SMPN 3 Tarakan Tahun 2011-2014
4. SMKS Kesehatan Kaltara Tarakan Tahun 2014-2017
5. Universitas Bhakti Kencana Bandung Tahun 2017-sekarang